

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,077	09/10/2003	Anthony J. Baerlocher	3718611.01530	5899
29159 7590 07/29/2010 K&L Gates LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 1135			KIM, ANDREW	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/660,077	BAERLOCHER ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
Review	ANDREW KIM	3714

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 6/24/10.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Rereason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a resporting the mail date of the last Office con	ase continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has beer be appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applican ref in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date table.
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows:  nsideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) ANDREW KIM.	(3) <u>Dmitry Suhol</u> .
(2) Peter Vo.	(4)
/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714	/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714